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BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

STATE OF IDAHO

In the Matter of: Case No.01-019

Sheri Lynn Craig Oberlender FINDINGS OF FACT,
License No. PN-12021, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,
Respondent. AND FINAL ORDER

Having reviewed the documents and correspondence contained in the
administrative file in this matter, the Idaho Board of Nursing (hereinafter the “Board”)
hereby enters the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Final Order:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent Sheri Lynn Oberlender (“Respondent”) is a duly licensed nurse
in the State of Idaho holding License No. PN-12021.

2. On or about March 23, 2001, the Board received a complaint from
Respondent’s employer, alleging that Respondent had made multiple medication errors,
exhibited strange behavior, and co-workers had smelled alcohol on her breath. A true and
correct copy of the complaint and supporting documentation sent to the Board by the
employer is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

3. On or about April 23, 2001, Respondent voluntarily surrendered her
license, admitting to violations of the Nursing Practice Act. A true and correct copy of
the voluntary surrender form signed by Respondent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

4. On or about April 27, 2001, an Order of Voluntary Surrender of Nursing
License was received from the Alaska Board of Nursing. A true and correct copy of the
Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
5. On or about July 20, 2001, the Program for Recovering Nurses (PRN) Program Coordinator submitted a letter of non-compliance to the Board. A true and correct copy of the letter is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.

6. Following their regular meeting on October 19, 2001, the PRN Advisory Committee issued a Report of Non-Compliance for failure to comply with all terms and conditions of the Contract for Monitoring. A true and correct copy of the letter is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

7. Respondent knowingly and freely waived her right to a hearing, and waived all rights granted to Respondent pursuant to the Administrative Procedures Act, chapter 52, title 67, Idaho Code.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. As a licensed nurse in the State of Idaho, Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the Board and to the provisions of title 54, chapter 14, Idaho Code, and the rules and regulations promulgated by the Board thereunder.

2. The allegations in the complaint, if proven, would constitute violations of the Nursing Practice Act and Rules and constitute grounds for revocation or suspension of Respondent's license to practice nursing pursuant to Idaho Code § 54-1413 (1)(e), 54-1413 (1) (i), 23.01.01.100.06., and 23.01.01.100.10.

3. Respondent's voluntary surrender of licensure authorizes the Board to revoke or suspend Respondent’s license without further process pursuant to Idaho Code § 54-1413(2).

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and good cause being shown, that Nursing License No. PN-12021, issued to Respondent Sheri Lynn Oberlender is

☑️ Revoked

☐ Suspended _____ days/year(s) _____ indefinitely
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based upon voluntary surrender, and is subject to subsequent review pursuant to Idaho Code § 54-1413(3).

It is further ordered that, should Respondent request reinstatement of licensure in the future, that she present the following information to the Board with her application for reinstatement:

1. Evidence of abstinence from the use of non-medically prescribed drugs and alcohol;

2. Documentation that she is rehabilitated and competent to practice nursing by submitting:
   a. A comprehensive drug/alcohol evaluation completed by a qualified mental health care provider at the time of application for reinstatement;
   b. A detailed summary of employment since licensure revocation or suspension;
   c. Documentation of activities engaged in to address drug/alcohol issues, to include documentation of an active recovery program; and

3. Evidence of financial compliance with NCPS and the Program for Recovering Nurses.

The Board reserves the right to assess investigative costs and attorney’s fees incurred in this matter as a condition of reinstatement.

This order is effective immediately.

DATED this 5th day of November, 2001.

IDAHO BOARD OF NURSING

By Charles Moseley, CRNA
Chair

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND FINAL ORDER - 3
NOTICE OF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS

NOTE: THIS NOTICE IS PROVIDED SOLEY FOR COMPLIANCE WITH IDAHO CODE § 67-5248, AND IS NOT INTENDED TO REINSTATE ANY RIGHTS PREVIOUSLY WAIVED BY RESPONDENT.

This is a final order of the Board. Any party may file a motion for reconsideration of this final order within fourteen (14) days of the service date of this order. The Board will dispose of the petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of its receipt, or the petition will be considered denied by operation of law. See Idaho Code § 67-5246(4).

Pursuant to Idaho Code §§ 67-5270 and 67-5272, any party aggrieved by this final order or orders previously issued in this case may appeal this final order and all previously issued orders in this case to district court by filing a petition in the district court of the county in which:

a. A hearing was held,
b. The final Board action was taken,
c. The party seeking review of the order, resides, or
d. The real property or personal property that was the subject of the Board action is located.

An appeal must be filed within twenty-eight (28) days (a) of the service date of this final order, (b) of an order denying petition for reconsideration, or (c) the failure within twenty-one (21) days to grant or deny a petition for reconsideration, whichever is later. See Idaho Code § 67-5273. The filing of an appeal to district court does not itself stay the effectiveness or enforcement of the order under appeal.

If respondent’s license was revoked, then pursuant to Board Rule 121, Respondent may apply to the Board for reinstatement one (1) year after the execution of this Order. At the time of application, the Board may conduct further evidentiary hearings to determine whether to grant a license, extend a limited license, or deny application for reinstatement.

If respondent’s license was suspended, then pursuant to Board Rule 120, Respondent may apply to the Board within a reasonable time after the execution of this Order, or as specified by the term of suspension. At the time of application, the Board may conduct further evidentiary hearings to determine whether to grant a license, extend a limited license, or deny application for reinstatement.
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 13th day of November, 2001, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND FINAL ORDER addressed as follows:

Sheri Lynn Oberlender
619 Florence
Sandpoint Idaho 83864

   U.S. Mail, postage prepaid
   Certified U.S. Mail, return receipt
   Hand Delivery
   Overnight Mail
   Facsimile: ___________________________
   Statehouse Mail

Sandra Evans, M.A.Ed., R.N.
Executive Director
3/23/01

To: Idaho Board of Nursing

Attention: Linda

I am writing to you to file a complaint against Sheri Oberlender LPN. She is an employee that I supervise at Valley Vista Care Center in Sandpoint, Idaho. On March 11th 2001, the nursing supervisor regarding the behavior of Sheri called me. Enclosed you will see my recollection of the events that occurred on that day, as well as from other co-workers. This nurse has been counseled a couple of times previously on medication related issues, but on this particular day she had 51 medication errors that could be documented. Since this incident, there have been rumors that co-workers have smelled alcohol on her breath before. Although we do not have documented proof of chemical or substance abuse, the behaviors and performance are consistent with this. Today we referred her to the company’s Employee Assistance Program. We have made conditions for her continued employment and are also looking for your continued guidance. I will be faxing this letter and mailing the additional information requested to you. If you have any additional questions, please feel free to call me at (208)265-4514.

Sincerely,

Danielle McLain RN
Director of Nursing
3/19/01 @ 10:30 am
I received a phone call from Sherri Oberlander. She stated she did not know there was a meeting scheduled for 10:00 am. She stated she is not available to meet today. I told her Danielle had already informed me about rescheduling for Tuesday 3/20/01 at 1:00 pm. She agreed that she would be there.

Nan Spohn

3/19/01 @ 12:20 pm I received a phone call from Joyce Sinclair, the daughter of one of our presidents. She stated Sherri Oberlander called her and asked her to call me and tell me she was a good nurse. She also stated that Sherri told her that "something is wrong with Danielle." Joyce stated, "She did not sound good." I don't know what it was." She was not very coherent. "I wondered if she was on something." When she called I assumed something was wrong with my mother." She called representing herself as "my mother's nurse." I assured Joyce that everything was OK and that I was investigating a situation. I encouraged her to call if she had any further calls or concerns.

Nan Spohn
3/20/01 @ 12:05 pm

Kim Fugue, CNA came to my office stating that she just received a phone call from Sherri Oberlander. Sherri asked her to come and talk to me. Kim states that Sherri told her she was not coming to the meeting we had scheduled for today because she was scared. She stated she didn't know what to do that she feels everyone is against her. She stated she didn't want to lose her job because she likes her job. She wanted Kim to tell me that if I was going to give her please give it to her in writing so she could give it to her attorney. She told Kim she took a test and passed it, and that Danielle won't talk to her. Kim said Sherri was crying and told Kim she called her because she felt like Kim was the only one she could talk to.

3/20/01 @ 12:05 pm

I received a phone call from Sherri Oberlander. She stated she was not able to meet with me today and requested my fax number. She gave her fax number. I asked her if she wanted to reschedule the meeting. She stated "No." She said she would fax me some information and she felt it could be taken care of in this manner. She also asked that she have her personnel file when she reviewed her tests.
3-13-01

This is what I observed on Sunday 3-11-01 concerning Shari Oberlander.

In the lunch room, she was eating her lunch and falling asleep while eating. I asked what was wrong, she said she went home and took some cold medicine. I saw her leave at 11:00 and didn't return till 12:00. She also fell asleep at the nurses station. In the dining room, while feeding residents, she was trying to make a chair and just stood there, holding it in the air till someone helped her. She was very quiet on Sunday.

Nurse [Name]
3-12-01

Yesterday when I came to work, I entered from the back room and she was standing at her desk. Her eyes were squinted, watery and she appeared to be having trouble focusing. Since she had been working long hours, I asked her how long she had been here and she said "too long." Then she tittered a bit and went back to whatever she was doing.

Then I went about my own business.

Patrice Powers.
3-13-01

I observed on Sunday in the break room Sherri Oberlender falling asleep while eating her lunch. Asked her what was wrong she said she did not feel good.

Linda Wakefield

She was very hard to understand - when she was standing at her cart she was 0/100% looked at as if she was sleeping.
**INTERDISCIPLINARY PROGRESS NOTES**

**NOTES SHOULD BE SIGNED WITH NAME AND TITLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>DISCIPLINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sat. March 11th</td>
<td>3-13-a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sheri the LPN on duty
didn't appear to be herself
I'm not sure if she was
il or not. She seemed
to be dragging and short
of patience if the alarms
went unanswered for what
she thought was too long.
She looked tired but
was ok to talk to.

Karon Macek
CNA
I echo these concerns. From the information I have, I can ensure the individual's rights are protected. I have read the written care plan and am familiar with the options available. In my opinion, the plan as outlined is beneficial. I have met with the individual and discussed the options. The individual is eager to explore these options. I have reviewed the care plan with the individual. The individual is aware of the benefits and potential drawbacks. I have discussed the plan with the individual's family. The family is supportive of the plan. I have reviewed the care plan with the individual's family. The family is aware of the benefits and potential drawbacks. I have discussed the plan with the individual's healthcare provider. The healthcare provider is supportive of the plan. I have reviewed the care plan with the individual's healthcare provider. The healthcare provider is aware of the benefits and potential drawbacks. I have discussed the plan with the individual's social worker. The social worker is supportive of the plan. I have reviewed the care plan with the individual's social worker. The social worker is aware of the benefits and potential drawbacks. I have discussed the plan with the individual's case manager. The case manager is supportive of the plan. I have reviewed the care plan with the individual's case manager. The case manager is aware of the benefits and potential drawbacks. I have discussed the plan with the individual's other caregivers. The other caregivers are supportive of the plan. I have reviewed the care plan with the individual's other caregivers. The other caregivers are aware of the benefits and potential drawbacks. I have discussed the plan with the individual's legal representative. The legal representative is supportive of the plan. I have reviewed the care plan with the individual's legal representative. The legal representative is aware of the benefits and potential drawbacks.
I was called at 1:53 by NS Barbara Shrode RN. She stated that Louise Dishner (family member's wife) made a complaint that nurse Sheri Oberlender LPN was on something. She reported that she was acting strange ie. Didn't give AM meds, had eyes half closed while walking down the hall, & complained of being very tired. Staff reported that handwriting was very erratic, performance was very slow, & she acted very tired. When I arrived at 1:53, she knew that I was coming in at 1:00 to relieve Barbara, as she came in at 0:50. Right after I arrived she came to me and stated that she was going to have to leave because she did not feel well. I took her into the med room with Barbara Shrode RN and told her that I had received some complaints and that due to her erratic behavior I needed to have her go to the hospital with us to have a drug test. She refused to ride in my car, but did meet me at BGH ER. She acted “strange.” When we arrived at the hospital she agreed to take a urinalysis. I was not aware that the alcohol could not be detected in the urine until after I got back and called. As soon as I got back I called her and told her that the hospital had forgotten to take a blood test or a breathalyzer and that I needed her to go back right away to get it. She stated she would try and I told her that I really needed the blood test and she stated she would go get it.

Sheri then called back at 1:00 and stated that she had requested that the nurse Kathy Wilson refused to draw the blood tests. She then said she was upset that I made her go home. I told her that she was the one that requested to go home etc. She then said that it was her right to come home and have a beer in her own house. She then called back and requested to talk to Barbara. She then told Barbara that she went for her drug test and that the lady at the hospital told her their was no reason to do one. She asked her what went on? Barb explained the complaints that had come in. She stated that she was just trying to get things done so she could get out of here early. She then told Barb she would see her tomorrow. Barb then informed her that she was not scheduled. She then said that she usually works on Sundays. Barb then informed her that tomorrow was Monday, she then stated “good.” She then said, “Well just let Danielle do it, it will be good for her.”

Sheri called me back a second time and got a little irritated with me. Stated that she did everything. Reviewed all meds and their were multiple med errors. It had taken me three hours just to sort through all of the records to determine what she had done etc. She did not chart on any of the residents for Sunday 3/11/01 and missed several charts on 3/10/01. There were 5 medication errors identified and over three charts that meds were given, but were not signed out.

danielle mcclain RN
RULE 132
VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF LICENSE

I, Sheri Herlender, by affixing my signature hereto, acknowledge that:

1. I admit that I have engaged in the following conduct: have surrendered
   my license in Alaska.

2. I understand that the admitted facts constitute grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to
   the Nursing Practice Act, Idaho Code §54-1413(1) and the rules of the Board, IDAPA 23.01.01,
   et seq. I also understand that the Board of Nursing has the authority to accept this voluntary
   surrender pursuant to Idaho Code §54-1413(2)(a).

3. I am aware that, without my consent, no legal action can be taken against me, except
   pursuant to the Idaho Administrative Procedures Act, Chapter 52, Title 67, Idaho Code.

4. I understand that I have the following rights, among others: the right to a formal fact
   finding hearing before the Board, to reasonable notice of said hearing, to representation by
   counsel, to present evidence and testimony on my behalf, to compel the testimony of witnesses,
   and to cross-examine witnesses against me; and

5. I waive all such rights, including the right to a formal disciplinary hearing.

6. I also waive the right to challenge the board for bias in the event that charges concerning
   these admitted facts or any other matter involving my license are brought before the board.

7. In lieu of a formal disciplinary hearing, I hereby voluntarily surrender license number
   PN - 12071 and will immediately discontinue the practice of nursing in Idaho.

8. As required by Board of Nursing Rule 132, IDAPA 23.01.01.132, I agree to enter
   treatment immediately, to participate in a monitoring program and to resume the practice of
   nursing only at such time as a conditional limited license has been issued to me.

9. I understand that Board of Nursing representatives will, if questioned, report the status of
   my license as “surrendered.”

DATED: 4-23-01

Signature of Licensee
619 N. Florence
Sandpoint, ID 83864
City, State, Zip

DATED: 4-24-01

Signature of Witness
6/99-PRN

EXHIBIT NO: 2
STATE OF ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
DIVISION OF OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING
BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

In the Matter of:

SHERI L. OBERLENDER, R.N.,
Respondent.

Case No. 2302-99-002.

SURRENDER OF NURSING LICENSE

I, Sheri L. Oberlender, voluntarily surrender my Alaska nursing license No. P 4834, which was first issued to me on September 30 1993, and has an expiration date of September 30, 2000, to the Division of Occupational Licensing (Division).

I am surrendering my above nursing license at this time with the understanding that the Division is conducting an active investigation on behalf of the Alaska Board of Nursing relative to case number 2302-99-002 concerning my competency to practice nursing safely because of a chemical dependency problem involving alcohol.

I understand that as a result of this Surrender, I cannot practice nursing in the State of Alaska, and will not attempt to do so in the future.

I do not wish to actively pursue or otherwise offer a defense against these allegations.

EXHIBIT NO: 3
I further understand that before my license to practice nursing in Alaska can be reinstated, I will have to prove to the above Board that I am competent to resume practice, and am able to do so with skill and safety.

If I make such a request for reinstatement, and I am denied by the Board of Nursing, I have the right to a Hearing, pursuant to AS 44.62, of the Administrative Procedure Act.

I am surrendering my license of my own free will. I am not under the influence of any medicine or other drugs that would effect my ability to think clearly and rationally. No promises or threats have been made to me by anyone to make me sign this document.

I have read the Surrender of Nursing License, understand it, and agree to be bound by its terms and conditions.

DATED: 3-30-01

Sheri L. Oberlender

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me on this 30 day of March, 2001 at Anchorage, Alaska.

[Signature]
Notary Public

[Stamp]
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ORDER

The Board of Nursing for the State of Alaska, having examined the Surrender of Nursing License, Case No. 2302-99-002, adopted the Surrender of Nursing License on ____________, 2001.

DATED this ___________ day of ___________, 2001, at Anchorage, Alaska.

BOARD OF NURSING

BY: ____________________________
   Chairperson

State of Alaska
Division of Occupational Licensing, Anchorage, Alaska 99503

RAH/Oblnder9
July 20, 2001

Sandra Evans
Board of Nursing
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720

RE: Sherri Oberlender

Dear Ms. Evans,

This letter is being written to inform you that we are reporting Ms. Oberlender to the Board of Nursing for failure to comply with the urinalysis testing procedures in her PRN contract, and failure to pay fees to the PRN program, and the approved drug testing facility, NCPS.

On June 26, 2001, Ms. Oberlender contacted PRN, via cellular number, at 6:20 PM to request that she no longer be required to test with NCPS, as her employer is also testing her. Ms. Oberlender was told that PRN does not recognize urinalysis tests from other drug testing sites, and that she would need to continue her random urinalysis requirements with NCPS in order to remain compliant with her PRN contract. Ms. Oberlender began to further argue the legality of requiring her to test with NCPS, and that the PRN program could not make her test with NCPS. Ms. Oberlender was advised to put her statements in writing, and when her statement was received by PRN, the PRN Advisory Committee would take further action. As of this date Ms. Oberlender has not sent in any written statement to the PRN office, nor contacted PRN staff.

On June 25, 2001. Ms. Oberlender was told by the PRN recognized drug testing facility, NCPS, that she was scheduled to test that day. When NCPS did not receive a test for that date, NCPS staff asked Ms. Oberlender if she had tested that day; Ms. Oberlender said that she had. NCPS attempted to track the record of Ms. Oberlender’s urinalysis specimen through NCPS designated collection site, LabCorp, but they had no record of a test on that date for Ms. Oberlender. NCPS staff again asked Ms. Oberlender where she had tested, and she reported that she had tested at Bonner Hospital. NCPS staff contacted Bonner Hospital; they also had no record of this test. Upon questioning Ms. Oberlender for a third time, Ms. Oberlender stated that she had tested for her employer for that day.

An Alternative to Disciplinary Action program offered by the Idaho Board of Nursing.
and that she did not need to test for NCPS also. She stated to NCPS administrator, Betty Motley, that it was legal for her to do this, and that she was not required to test for NCPS.

Ms. Oberlender further stated to Betty Motley that PRN was aware of her testing with her employer, and that PRN was receiving the results of these tests (PRN staff advised Betty Motley that this was not the case). Betty Motley advised Ms. Oberlender to call PRN, and to straighten this conflict out. As of the date of this letter, Ms. Oberlender has not contacted the PRN office staff.

Ms. Oberlender also currently owes $55.00 to PRN for monitoring, and $105.00 to NCPS for testing procedures and collection fees. Ms. Oberlender has also missed call-ins to NCPS on the following dates: 7/2/01 called late; 7/5, 7/18 and 7/19/01 missed call-in; one reason given to NCPS for failure to call-in was that she was stuck in traffic, as reported by Betty Motley (NCPS).

Due to Ms. Oberlender’s failure to remain compliant with her PRN contract, and her refusal to contact PRN office staff, PRN will continue to monitor, but will no longer advocate for Ms. Oberlender until further instructed by the Board of Nursing.

Enclosed with this letter is a signed statement from Betty Motley, NCPS Administrator.

If you have any further questions or concerns in this matter, please feel free to contact PRN staff at (208) 342-5319.

Sincerely,

John Southworth, CADC, AIS
PRN Coordinator
(208) 891-4726 cellular
(208) 342-5319 office

cc: Sherri Oberlender

JS:kl
July 12, 2001

Mr. John Southworth
PRN
P.O. Box 2668
Boise, ID 83701

Dear Mr. Southworth:

Re: Sheri Oberlender 05-1137

Per my phone conversation with Kristin Laurandau today, Ms. Oberlender was scheduled for a test 6/25/01. She confirmed to us that we told her to test. When we did not receive a result in, we checked with LabCorp. They had no record of a test for her on 6/25/01. We asked her last week where she tested and she stated Bonner Hospital. I checked with Bonner today and they had no record of her coming in for a test on 6/25/01.

I spoke with Ms. Oberlender today. She stated she told someone she tested for her employer the day before and that she did not need to test for us too. She stated it was legal for her to do this. I advised her, she should to test when we tell her to test. I asked her if they were sending copies of results to PRN and she stated they were. I advised her, she should call PRN and get this straightened out. She stated she would do that.

Call if you have any questions or need any additional information.

Sincerely,

Betty F. Motley
Administrator
TO: SANDRA EVANS, MAEd, RN  
Executive Director  
Idaho Board of Nursing

FROM: Karen Ellis, RN, Chairperson  
Program for Recovering Nurses

DATE: October 19, 2001

The file of Sheri Oberlender was reviewed at the Advisory Committee meeting on October 19, 2001, and found to be in non-compliance of recommendations because of the following:

Failure to comply with all terms and conditions of the Contract for Monitoring

The Committee made the following recommendation(s):

Refer to Board for disciplinary action.

File reviewed by Executive Director:

[Signature]

10/19/01

Action Recommended to Board: [redacted based on voluntary surrender]

An Alternative to Disciplinary Action Program offered by the Idaho Board of Nursing

EXHIBIT NO: 5
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION</th>
<th>COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>■ Complete Items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete Item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.</td>
<td>A. Received by (Please Print Clearly)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.</td>
<td>C. Signature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Article Addressed to:

SHERI OBERLENDER  
619 FLORENCE  
SANDPOINT ID 83864

2. Article Number (Copy from service label)

7000 15 30 0000 9411 8490

PS Form 3811, July 1999  
Domestic Return Receipt

3. Service Type

☐ Certified Mail  ☐ Express Mail  
☐ Registered  ☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise  
☐ Insured Mail  ☐ C.O.D.

4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)  ☐ Yes

U.S. Postal Service  
CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT  
(Domestic Mail Only: No insurance coverage provided)

| OFFICIAL USE |
|--------------|---|---|
| Postage | $ |
| Certified Fee |  |
| Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required) |  |
| Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required) |  |
| Total Fee |  |

Sent To:  
SHERI OBERLENDER  
619 FLORENCE  
SANDPOINT ID 83864

Postmark  
11/14/01  
Surface

PS Form 3800, May 2000  
See reverse for instructions